



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,262 11/03/2003		Gary Stephen Moore	700650-1002	3697
38406	7590 04/29/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL A. O'NEIL, P.C. 5949 SHERRY LANE, SUITE 820 DALLAS, TX 75225		HAYES, BRET C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3644	
•			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before	the l	Filing	of an	Appeal	Brief	

Application No.	Applicant(s) ~		
10/700,262	MOORE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bret C Hayes	3644		

Advisory Action	10//00,262	MOORE ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bret C Hayes	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED MITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe					
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);			
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		ducina or cimplifyina t	the issues for		
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ile issues ioi		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an</li> </ul>	nt before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u>	t be entered		
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		moododary and		
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  1.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	a condition for allower	as been as		
<del></del> :			ce because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
3.  Other:					
	TERI PH/	AM LUU			
	SUPER	VISORY			

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the addition of limitations regarding 'manually'positioning neither materially reduce nor simplify the issues.

As per a request made during an interview with Michael O'Neil and Justin Kimble, examiner will telephone, within the week, to talk over possible limitations that will distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art of record.